

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,229	09/881,229 06/13/2001		John Gunnar Olsson	34648-00468USPT	7570
38065	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER	
ERICSSO			FOX, BRYAN J		
6300 LEGACY DRIVE M/S EVR C11			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PLANO,	PLANO, TX 75024			2686	G
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	, /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/881,229	OLSSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bryan J Fox	2686
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	ne 2004.	
,	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	ce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-23 and 25-28 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-23 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 25-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/881,229

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 26, it is unclear how an identifier can include a transmitter in a base transceiver station.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawano et al (US006564052B1) in view of Spear.

Regarding claim 26, Kawano et al discloses wireless system with a wireless base stations WBS1, WBS2 and WBS3 and a wireless base station controller WBC and wireless subscriber units WSU1, WSU2 and WSU3. The wireless base stations WBS1, WBS2 and WBS3 are provided with means for detecting a fault between them and the

Art Unit: 2686

wireless base station controller WBC (see column 4, lines 13-31), which reads on the claimed "determiner that determines that contact has been lost between a base transceiver station and a primary base station controller that normally controls said base transceiver station". The WBS sends blocking information when a disconnection or fault occurs and it is possible to send the nearby WBS information with the blocking information including the WBS-ID (see column 8, line 61 – column 9, line 32), which reads on the claimed transmission of a broadcast message with an identifier. Kawano et al fails to disclose that another base station controller adopts the base station with a fault.

Spear discloses a method for changing communication in a communication system including two base station controllers capable of controlling base transceiver stations (See figure 1), which reads on the claimed "base station system, said base station system including a plurality of base station controllers, each of which controls at least one base transceiver station". The system continuously determines whether a first link 110 is no longer the preferred link and an example of it not being the preferred link is when the link has gone down (see column 3, lines 25-31. If it is determined that the first link is no longer the preferred link, the communication is changed to the second link 112, which includes second base station controller 107 (see column 3, lines 30-34), which reads on the claimed "handover means for handing over said base transceiver station from said primary base station controller to said secondary base station controller".

·Application/Control Number: 09/881,229

Art Unit: 2686

Page 4

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kawano et al with Spear to include the above reconnecting the base station with a base station controller in order to provide a method a communication system for changing communication within a communication system without dropping the communication.

Regarding claim 28, the combination of Kawano et al and Spear discloses that the wireless base stations WBS1, WBS2 and WBS3 are provided with means for detecting a fault between them and the wireless base station controller WBC (see column 4, lines 13-31), which reads on the claimed "sub network manager, and wherein said determiner is in said sub network manager".

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-23 are allowed.

Claim 25 and 27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan J Fox whose telephone number is (703) 305-8994. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJF

OV.T NEYUDM RENMAKE YBAMMU

nguye V8 8/20/2004